

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,913	04/11/2001	Toshimi Iizuka	35.C9339 CII/DI	1149
5514	7590 09/18/2002			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAMINER	
NEW YORK,	LLER PLAZA NY 10112		NGUYEN, THONG Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	13
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		_ M
,	Application N .	pplicant(s)
,	09/829,913	IIZUKA, TOSHIMI
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thong Q. Nguyen	2872
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period f r Reply	ears on the cover she t with the c	correspondenc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	luly 2002 and 30 August 2002 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 11-15 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		
_a)	visional application has been rec	seived.
15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti Attachment(s)	c phonty under 35 U.S.C. 99 120	Janu/OF 121.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The present Office action is made in response to the communication (Paper No.
 filed on 8/30/2002 and the amendment (Paper No. 9) of 7/9/2002.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/30/2002 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) Claim 11 is rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph by the use of the terms "may be" in the claim. See line 6. Applicant should note that the terms "may be" render the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).



Art Unit: 2872

b) The remaining claims are dependent upon the rejected base claim and thus inherit the deficiencies thereof.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 11-15, as best as understood, are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,246,520 B1, of record, in view of Yasuhiko (Japanese reference No. 3-235927, submitted by applicant). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the device as claimed in claims 1-2 of the Patent '520 discloses an optical apparatus having an objective lens means, an image erected prism means, an eyepiece means, and a variable angle prism means disposed at a ray-converging portion between the objective lens means and the image erected prism means for changing a direction of the light beam passing the objective lens means, a detecting means for detecting the shake of the apparatus, and a drive controlling means for controlling the variable prism means on the basis of the output

Art Unit: 2872

from the detecting means. While the claims do not clearly state the detecting means comprises a sensor for detecting vibrations to the optical apparatus and a detector for detecting the drive movement of the variable angle prism means; however, the use of such a detecting means having a sensor for detecting the vibrations to an optical apparatus and a second sensor for detecting the movement of a correcting system is clearly suggested to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the camera having an image stabilization function provided by Yasuhiko. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the optical apparatus provided by claims 1-2 of the Patent '520 by using a detecting system as suggested by Yasuhiko for the purpose of controlling the operation of the variable angle prism means based on the outputs from a sensor for detecting the vibrations to an optical apparatus and a second sensor for detecting the movement of a correcting system.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong Q Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 308 4814. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached on 703 308 1687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7724 for regular communications and 703 308 7724 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2872

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.

Thong Q Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872

September 10, 2002